Turning the Tide: Supreme Court Cuts Backlog in 100-Day Efficiency Drive

The Supreme Court has recently achieved a significant milestone by **reducing its case pendency by 4.83% in just 100 days** through a series of well-planned reforms.

Reforms taken in India's Justice Delivery System: A Stakeholder Approach

This framework organizes the reforms around the primary groups who interact with and are affected by the judicial system. (This will improve the way you generate the point in exam hall)

1. For the CITIZEN / LITIGANT (The Justice Seeker)

The goal is to make justice more accessible, affordable, and understandable.

- Improved Access & Convenience:
 - **eSewa Kendras:** Provide physical help to navigate digital services, bridging the digital divide.
 - Tele-Law Programme: Offers free legal advice to citizens in remote areas through Common Service Centres (CSCs), connecting them with lawyers via video conferencing.
- Faster Resolution:
 - Fast Track Courts (FTCs): Prioritize sensitive cases involving citizens like women, children, and senior citizens for quicker trials.
 - Lok Adalats: Provide a platform for citizens to resolve disputes (e.g., insurance, family, minor civil matters) amicably, quickly, and for free.
- Reduced Burden & Decriminalization:
 - Jan Vishwas Act, 2023: Decriminalizes many minor offences, ensuring citizens and small businesses don't face protracted criminal trials for trivial violations.

2. For the JUDICIARY (Courts & Judges)

The goal is to enhance efficiency, reduce pendency, and aid decision-making.

Case Management & Efficiency Tools:

 National Judicial Data Grid (NJDG): Provides judges and court administrators with real-time data to track case pendency, identify bottlenecks, and manage workflows better.

Currently, it covers case details of 18,735 District and Subordinate Courts across India.

• **Video Conferencing (VC):** Allows courts to conduct proceedings remotely, saving time and logistical hassle for all involved (e.g., producing accused from jail, examining expert witnesses from abroad).

Reduced Caseload Pressure:

- Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR): Mandatory Pre-institution Mediation (PIM) and promoted arbitration divert cases away from the traditional court system before they become filed cases, reducing the inflow.
- Jan Vishwas Act, 2023: By decriminalizing many offences, it prevents a large category of cases from ever entering the judicial pipeline.
 (decriminalised 183 provisions across 42 Central laws under 19 ministries/departments, helping reduce the judiciary's burden.)

3. For the LEGAL PROFESSION (Lawyers & Advocates)

The goal is to provide better tools and define new roles.

Digital Tools & Infrastructure:

- e-Courts Project: Provides lawyers with digital tools for e-filing, online case status tracking, and access to digital records, making their practice more efficient.
- WAN Connectivity: Reliable internet in court complexes enables lawyers
 to use VC and digital resources effectively.

New Avenues for Practice:

 ADR Mechanisms: The growth of mediation and arbitration creates new professional opportunities for lawyers to act as mediators, arbitrators, and conciliators.

Pro Bono Culture:

 NyayaBandhu Platform: Provides a structured technological framework for advocates who wish to volunteer for pro bono cases, making it easier to contribute to legal aid.

4. For the GOVERNMENT & LEGISLATURE (The Policy Maker)

The goal is to create a robust legal framework and institutional structure for reform.

Institutional Creation:

 National Mission for Justice Delivery: The government established this mission to provide a strategic, coordinated framework for all reforms, ensuring they are performance-driven.

• Legislative Action:

- Amending Laws: The government has passed key legislative amendments (e.g., Commercial Courts Act, Arbitration Act, Criminal Laws) to create the legal foundation for faster processes, stricter timelines, and specialized procedures.
- Jan Vishwas Act, 2023: A proactive legislative exercise to rationalize the statute book and reduce unnecessary litigation that burdens the state's prosecutorial machinery.

5. For the ACCUSED & PRISON SYSTEM

The goal is to enhance rights and improve logistics.

 Video Conferencing: Allows accused individuals to appear for routine hearings from jail, significantly improving security, reducing transportation costs and risks, and minimizing disruption to prison schedules.

6. For the BUSINESS & COMMERCIAL SECTOR

The goal is to **ensure speedy enforcement of contracts and dispute resolution** to improve Ease of Doing Business.

Specialized Procedures:

o **Commercial Courts Act:** Establishes dedicated courts and procedures for commercial disputes, understanding their need for urgency.

Mandatory ADR:

 Pre-institution Mediation (PMS): Offers businesses a chance to resolve disputes confidentially and quickly without entering prolonged litigation.

• Timely Arbitration:

 Arbitration and Conciliation (Amendment) Act: Prescribes strict timelines for arbitral proceedings, making this popular business alternative more reliable and effective.

Major Challenges in the Indian Judiciary: A Stakeholder Analysis

1. For the CITIZEN / LITIGANT (The Justice Seeker)

For this stakeholder, the challenges translate to **denied access**, **unaffordable costs**, and a loss of faith.

- Mounting Pendency and Delayed Justice: The core issue. With over 5 crore cases pending and some cases older than 50 years, citizens are effectively denied "timely justice," a fundamental right. The phrase "tareekh pe tareekh" (date after date) encapsulates their frustrating experience.
- Barriers to Accessing Justice:
 - o **Inadequate Legal Aid:** While 80% of the population is eligible for free legal aid, only **1% access it** due to poor implementation, lack of awareness, and procedural hurdles.
 - Undertrial Prison Crisis: 76% of India's jail population are undertrials (awaiting trial), meaning thousands are in jail not because they are guilty, but because they are poor and cannot secure bail or a speedy trial.
- Lack of Diversity: A homogenous judiciary (lack of gender, caste, and regional representation) can affect a citizen's perception of fairness and understanding, especially for cases from marginalized communities.

2. For the JUDICIARY (Judges & Courts)

For judges, the challenges create an impossible working environment, immense pressure, and ethical dilemmas.

- Persistent Judicial Vacancies: High Courts operate with ~33% vacancies. This
 places an unsustainable workload on sitting judges, leading to burnout and
 making it physically impossible to clear the backlog.
- Inadequate Infrastructure and Technology: Judges lack the basic tools to work efficiently:
 - Shortage of 4,250 courtrooms and 6,021 residential units.
 - Technological Gaps: Only 41% of court complexes have proper video conferencing facilities, hampering modern practices like virtual hearings.
- Threats to Independence & Integrity:
 - Executive Interference: Delays in appointments, selective transfers (e.g., Justice Muralidhar), and post-retirement appointments (e.g., nominating a

former CJI to Rajya Sabha) create perceptions of pressure and "quid pro quo."

 Accountability Deficit: The near-impossible impeachment process and the lack of a transparent system to address minor misconduct (e.g., data on complaints not maintained) place judges in a unique position with little oversight.

3. For the LEGAL PROFESSION (Lawyers & Advocates)

Lawyers navigate a system that is **archaic, inefficient, and sometimes non-transparent.**

- **Systemic Delays:** Lawyers must manage client expectations amidst endless adjournments and delays, which hurts their practice and reputation.
- Opaque Appointments (Collegium System): The collegium system's lack of transparency can lead to perceptions of favoritism and the 'Uncle judge syndrome', undermining meritocracy and demoralizing talented lawyers.
- **Technological Divide:** While e-filing and digital tools exist, **poor connectivity** and untrained personnel in courts mean lawyers often struggle with the very systems designed to help them, wasting time and effort.

4. For the ACCUSED & PRISONER

This group faces the **harshest consequences of systemic failure**, often losing their liberty and rights.

- The Undertrial Crisis: Making up 76% of the prison population, most accused are not convicted criminals but individuals awaiting trial, sometimes for periods longer than the maximum sentence for their alleged crime.
- Lack of Effective Legal Aid: Without proper access to legal representation, the accused cannot navigate the complex legal system, often remanded to custody without understanding their rights.

5. For the GOVERNMENT & LEGISLATURE (The Executive)

The government faces criticism for **failing to collaborate effectively** with the judiciary and for perceived overreach.

• **Executive-Judiciary Tension:** The core conflict revolves around appointments (Collegium vs. NJAC), where government delays in clearing names and selective transfers are seen as interference in judicial independence.

- **Inadequate Funding & Infrastructure:** As the primary funder, the government is responsible for the critical shortage of courtrooms, judicial housing, and technological infrastructure, directly contributing to inefficiency.
- **Legislative Overload:** The legislature's failure to repeal outdated laws and simplify complex procedures (partly addressed by the Jan Vishwas Act) clogs the system with avoidable litigation.

6. For SOCIETY & THE NATION

The collective impact of these challenges **erodes the rule of law and hinders national progress.**

- **Erosion of Public Trust:** Chronic delays, perceived corruption ('Uncle judge syndrome'), and a lack of accountability make the public cynical about the rule of law, which is dangerous for a democracy.
- Impediment to Economic Growth: A slow judiciary enforces contracts poorly, discourages foreign investment, and hampers the ease of doing business. Investors require a reliable mechanism to resolve disputes quickly.
- Debate on Judicial Role: Instances of judicial activism (e.g., Electoral Bonds case, Vishaka guidelines) spark a national debate on whether the judiciary is overstepping into the domain of the executive and legislature, challenging the separation of powers.

Reforms for the Indian Judiciary: A Stakeholder Roadmap

1. For the JUDICIARY (Judges & Court Administration)

<mark>ation)</mark>

Goal: Empower judges with better tools, infrastructure, and training to manage their workload effectively.

- Technology-Driven Case Management:
 - Fully implement and expand Al-powered tools like SUVAS (for translation) and SUPACE (for research) to all levels of courts to assist judges in processing information and drafting orders faster.
 - Mandate the use of the FASTER system for all courts to ensure instant transmission of orders, especially for bail and urgent relief.

• **Specialized Courts & Tribunals:** Establish more specialized courts for domains like commercial disputes, cybercrime, and environmental law, allowing judges to develop expertise and resolve complex cases more efficiently.

Enhanced Training & Sensitivity:

- The National Judicial Academy should implement mandatory, continuous training in judicial compassion, case management, and emerging areas of law.
- Enforce the use of the Supreme Court's handbook on gender-unjust language and integrate sensitivity training to ensure a more equitable courtroom environment.
- Infrastructure Authority: Establish a National Judicial Infrastructure Authority of India (NJIAI) to ensure judges have the necessary courtrooms, technological hardware, and residential facilities to perform their duties without hindrance.

2. For the LEGAL PROFESSION (Lawyers & Advocates)

Goal: Equip lawyers with modern tools and define their role in a reformed, efficient system.

- Mandatory Continuing Professional Development (CPD): Institute a mandatory CPD scheme (like Singapore's) for lawyers to stay updated on legal reforms, technology (e-filing, e-evidence), and ethical standards.
- **Pro Bono Institutionalization:** Strengthen the **NyayaBandhu platform** and formally recognize pro bono work as a criterion for senior designations, incentivizing lawyers to contribute to legal aid.
- **ADR Training:** Provide specialized training and certification for lawyers to act as **mediators and arbitrators**, creating new career paths and helping divert cases from overburdened courts.

3. For the CITIZEN / LITIGANT (The Justice Seeker)

Goal: Make justice accessible, affordable, understandable, and timely for the common person.

- Strengthened Legal Aid Ecosystem:
 - Reform the National Legal Services Authority (NALSA) to function like the Netherlands' model, providing subsidized legal aid based on income levels, not just for the destitute.
 - Expand **Tele-Law** and mobile legal clinics to rural areas, ensuring remote access to legal consultation.

Promotion of ADR for Citizens: Actively promote and incentivize Lok
 Adalats and mediation centers as the first resort for common disputes (family,
 property, petty civil cases), offering a faster, less formal, and cost-effective
 alternative.

• Enhanced Transparency & Communication:

- Expand live-streaming of cases of public importance and issue summaries of judgments in regional languages to demystify the process and build trust.
- Conduct nationwide legal literacy campaigns to inform citizens of their rights and the mechanisms available to them.

4. For the GOVERNMENT & LEGISLATURE (The Policy Maker)

Goal: Create a supportive policy and fiscal environment for judicial reform.

 Judicial Appointments Reform: Revamp the appointment system by establishing a transparent and representative Judicial Appointments Commission to replace the opaque collegium system, ensuring appointments are made swiftly and diversity is prioritized.

Legislative Action:

- Accelerate the implementation of the Mediation Act, 2023, by allocating funds for mediation centers and trained mediators.
- o Continue the legislative review to **decriminalize trivial offences** (following the Jan Vishwas Act model) to prevent the judiciary from being clogged with unnecessary cases.
- Flexible Funding & Audit: Introduce flexibility in fund utilization for court infrastructure under schemes like the CSS and mandate a CAG audit to ensure funds are used effectively and not left unutilized.
- Create All India Judicial Service (AIJS): Consider establishing an AIJS under Article 312 to attract talented lawyers into the lower judiciary through a standardized, merit-based process.

5. For the EXECUTIVE (The Government as Appointer & Administrator)

Goal: Ensure timely collaboration with the judiciary to uphold its independence.

• Cooperative Appointments Process: Avoid delays in processing and appointing judges recommended by the collegium (or a future commission) to ensure vacancies are filled promptly.

• **Non-Interference:** Respect judicial independence by avoiding actions that create perceptions of a "quid pro quo," such as post-retirement appointments for sitting judges, which can undermine public trust.

6. For SOCIETY & THE NATION

Goal: Build a justice system that upholds the rule of law and fosters economic growth.

- **Diversity in Judiciary:** Implement policies to ensure the judiciary reflects the nation's social, gender, and regional diversity, which is crucial for earning broad public legitimacy and understanding complex social issues.
- Accountability Mechanisms: Develop a transparent system for judicial performance evaluation(inspired by models in the U.S.) and a feasible mechanism to address minor complaints against judges, balancing accountability with judicial independence.
- Economic Enabler: A reformed, efficient judiciary is a key pillar for ease of doing business, as it ensures contract enforcement and dispute resolution are predictable and swift, attracting investment and boosting the economy.

For India to truly thrive, judicial reform must become a collective national mission, not just an institutional goal. This urgency is underscored by the profound role of the judiciary, which Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam described as "the Angel and the Marshal" safeguarding our democracy and civilized life. To remain worthy of the nation's gaze from its exalted pedestal, the system must be transformed with decisive speed. The ultimate objective is clear: to make justice accessible, swift, and undeniable for all.

"YOUR SUCCESS. OUR COMMITMENT"